

Kul Bhushan,
S/o Banwari Lal,
377, PUDA Colony,
Malout.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Bhunarheri, District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Bhunarheri, District Patiala.

.... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3558 of 2020

Present: Shri Kul Bhushan, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Bhunarheri.

ORDER

Shri Kul Bhushan, appellant filed this RTI application on 21.05.2020 and sought information **regarding MNREGA for the period January 2017 to May 2020 in Gram Panchayat Khaktan Kalan, Block Bhunarheri** from the PIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Bhunarheri, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the PIO. The appellant stated that the information regarding point nos. 4 to 8 of the RTI application is still pending.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.1293 dated 25.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the PIO has sent reply/information to Shri Kul Bhushan, appellant regarding point nos. 4 to 8 of the RTI application. The PIO submitted that the contact number of Shri Balwinder Singh, Incharge is 9914351723. It is further submitted by the PIO that the information regarding money spent under MAGNREGA is given on the copies of Mustrolls. It is again submitted by the PIO that no purchase of material was done during this period under MAGNREGA scheme, so there is no cash book of MAGNREGA and all the payments were done online and the Panchayat has no separate bank account related with MAGNREGA.

I have gone through point nos. 4 to 8 of the RTI application dated 21.05.2020 and also gone through letter no.1293 dated 25.06.2021 sent by the PIO to the appellant. The PIO has replied each and every point and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Nathu Ram Sihag,
S/o Shri Mahavir,
R/o Village Taja Patti,
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DEO (SE),
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3885 of 2020

Present:- Shri Nathu Ram Sihag, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the respondent SPIO.

O R D E R

Shri Nathu Ram Sihag, appellant filed RTI application dated 23.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the District Education Officer (SE), Fazilka, which is a copy of letter no.71220 dated 28.05.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the sought for information has been sent to the appellant.

The appellant, Shri Nathu Ram Sihag has also sent an e-mail to the Commission on 03.06.2021. I have gone through the said e-mail and it is submitted by the appellant that the District Education Officer (SE), Fazilka has sent him the sought for information vide registered letter dated 28.05.2021 and he is satisfied with the information. The appellant further requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered letter dated 28.05.2021 and the appellant has also admitted in his e-mail that he has received the information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Avtar Singh,
S/o Late Shri Daljit Singh,
VPO Chuharwali,
Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Divisional Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3925 of 2020

Present:- Shri Avtar Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Bhupinder Singh, Excise Inspector is present on behalf of Shri Harpreet Singh, SPIO-cum-Excise Officer, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Kapurthala.

O R D E R

Shri Avtar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Kapurthala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 19.05.2021 passed by the Commission, the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 10.06.2021 vide letter no.359 dated 28.05.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.359 dated 28.05.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which point-wise information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has signed on the said letter after receiving the information on 10.06.2021.

The SPIO has provided the information to the appellant by hand on 10.06.2021 vide letter no.359 dated 28.05.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ritu Pal,
S/o Shri Karan Singh,
VPO Sihala, Tehsil Samrala,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Majri,
Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 901 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ritu Pal, complainant/applicant is absent.
Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Majri, Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali is
present.

O R D E R

Shri Ritu Pal, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 22.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Majri, Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Majri, is present and she stated that in compliance to the Order dated 29.06.2021 she has sent the reply to the Show Cause Notice and requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 1519 dated 06.07.2021 along with a copy of duly attested affidavit dated 06.07.2021.

I have gone through the contents of letter no. 1519 dated 06.07.2021 and the affidavit dated 06.07.2021 and also heard Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Majri, Tehsil Kharar. It is submitted in the reply by the SPIO that the applicant has filed RTI application on 22.06.2020, but she has joined as BDPO at Majri on 05.08.2020. It is further submitted that the RTI application was transferred to Shri Bharatpal, Panchayat Secretary on 03.07.2020. The SPIO has further tendered unconditional apology and assured the Commission that in future she will provide the information to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

After going through the reply to the Show Cause Notice, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the applicant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Majri, Tehsil Kharar is hereby dropped and filed with a warning to her that in future she will remain more vigilant towards her duties and provide the information to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

As the information in the present case has already been provided to the complainant/applicant vide letter no.SPL-1 dated 11.06.2021, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Jasbir Singh, S/o Shri Harbans Singh,
Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular.
Distt. Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Block Sirhind, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1438 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Rajinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary and Ms. Manjot Kaur GRS are present
on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block
Sirhind, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Sirhind, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.01.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

Ms. Manjot Kaur GRS stated that the information/reply regarding point no.2 of the RTI application related with the MAGNREGA scheme has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.749 dated 17.06.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

Shri Rajinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary stated that the appellant sought information through point no.1 of the RTI application regarding the compromises amicable done by the Panchayat, but there is no written record available regarding this. He further stated that the appellant has asked queries in point nos.3 and 4 of the RTI application, which is not permissible under the RTI Act, 2005.

I have gone through all the points of the RTI application dated 26.12.2020.

The PIO is directed to send the attested copies of information regarding point no.4 of the RTI application to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance report be sent to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Surinder Singh,
VPO Jandoli,
Distt. Hoshiarpur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB.
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Principal Secretary,
Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB.
Mohali..

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1510 of 2021

Present:- Shri Surinder Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural Development and
Panchayat Deptt. PB. Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Surinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB. Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 22.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 26.05.2021, diarized vide no. 11495, which is a copy of letter no. 3121 dated 25.05.2021 along with copy of letter no. 5157 dated 24.03.2021, copy of letter no. 339 dated 15.02.2021 and copy of letter no. 2969 dated 11.05.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 3121 dated 25.05.2021 vide which the SPIO has sent the copy of inquiry report dated 24.03.2021 conducted by the ADC (Dev.), Hoshiarpur along with copy of letter dated 11.05.2021 to the appellant in response to his application dated 12.10.2020.

I have also gone through the RTI application dated 15.11.2020 and the appellant sought information regarding action taken on his application dated 12.10.2020. Since the SPIO has sent the action taken report to the appellant vide letter no. 3121 dated 25.05.2021, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Om Parkash, S/o Shri Nobat Singh,
Village Datoli, PO Chirya,
Distt. Charkhi Dadri,
Haryana-127022.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
SCERT, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Director,
SCERT, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1527 of 2021

Present:- Shri Om Parkash, appellant is absent.
Sh. Naginder Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o Director, SCERT,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

O R D E R

Shri Om Parkash, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, SCERT, Punjab, Chandigarh. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.9703 dated 17.11.2020. Not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.11.2020. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 23.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The appellant has sent a mail to the Commission, which was received on 15.06.2021, diarized vide no.12843. I have gone through the said mail and the appellant requested that the sought for information be provided to him.

The Respondent stated that the appellant has sought information for the period 1948 to 1960, but the office of SCERT, Punjab came into existence in the year 1981, so the sought for information is not available with the office of the SPIO. He further stated that the reply regarding this has already been provided to the applicant vide letter no. 9703 dated 17.11.2020.

Since the appellant has sought information for the period 1948 to 1960 and the office of the SPIO came into existence in the year 1981, so the SPIO is unable to provide the sought for information to the appellant. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.